



CRITICAL GENDER THEORY AND THE U. S. ELECTION

Gerard Nicol



ABSTRACT

Written before the election results were official, this article examines Former-President Trump's corrective response to his predecessor's critical-gender-theory-informed policies and speculates what a Biden Administration might do to undermine it. Until recently, U. S.-Government employees were forced to sit through training programs that scapegoated half of the participants based upon the perverse concept of gender-based ancestry, where men are held responsible for the actions of every man who came before them. The author suggests a Biden Administration will reimplement these programs, and American society will be cast back into the Obama-era world of critical gender theory and College Title-IX kangaroo courts.

Keywords: Title ix, critical gender theory, gender, feminism, misandry

While the world waits to see if President Trump can successfully argue in the courts that he did win the 2020 election, there is a small group of people who mourn the potential of losing a strong advocate for gender equality. It is undeniable that most people see President Trump through the lens of secretly recorded personal comments on kissing women without consent, and his perception that women allow wealthy men to take liberties that they would deny lesser men, but a minority perspective is that President Trump has brought a voice of reason to the discussion of gender. The media in Australia mainly ignored President Trump's efforts, with the notable exception being the Australian Broadcasting Corporation, which occasionally report the stories from a narrow left-wing ideological perspective.

On September 22nd, 2020, President Trump issued Executive Order 13950 to combat race and sex stereotyping and scapegoating within the United States Government. What could possibly be wrong with that? Isn't stereotyping or scapegoating someone based upon his or her gender fundamentally incompatible with the basic principles of gender equality? The President's executive order defunded programs that framed one gender as inherently superior to another, that framed any gender as inherently sexist and oppressive, or that encouraged people to treat a person differently based upon his or her gender. Had President Obama issued the same executive order, wouldn't it have been hailed as one of his greatest achievements? In fact, why didn't President Obama issue that executive order in the first term of his presidency?

Before his election, President Trump ran afoul of political correctness when he claimed some Mexican men entering the United States illegally were rapists. His comments were interpreted by some on the left to be a political dog whistle, encouraging a rush-to-judgment against illegal-migrant men, but it has been President Trump's Secretary of Education, Betsy DeVos, who has fought extensively to return Due Process to U. S. College-Campus Title-IX sexual-assault proceedings, proceedings that are disproportionately brought against men who come from a non-English-speaking background.

If the Democrats win the Presidency, among President Biden's first acts will be the reversal of President Trump's executive order on gender scapegoating and his Title IX reforms. American society will be cast back into the President Obama-era world of critical gender theory and College Title IX kangaroo courts, and this return will be framed by the media as a great step towards gender equality. In effect, the entire official narrative on gender will revert to the

intersectional-feminist perspective that categorizes each individual by race, gender, sexual orientation, sexual identity, fertility, disabilities and religion. While few pay any direct attention to these ideas, the fact remains that under President Obama these ideas started to flow into government agencies; President Trump turned off the tap, and President Biden will turn that tap back on.

It isn't 2008 anymore; the world has changed. Intersectional feminism has shown men that no matter what they say or do, they will still be categorized as oppressors for as long as they remain CIS gendered and heterosexual. Men have seen that being a feminist ally is no protection from the feminist mob, and they have seen that the ever-growing conservative mob automatically label woke men as opportunistic sexual predators.

For all his faults, President Trump has called into question the wisdom of perspectives, agendas and programs that create a gender *apartheid*. He has supported Betsy Devos as she required that both men and women accused of sexual assault receive the basic right of being told the allegations against them, before they are expelled without even the basics of due process. Only a few months ago government employees were forced to sit through training programs that scapegoated half of the participants based upon the perverse concept of gender-based ancestry, where men are held responsible for the actions of every man who came before them. That recently stopped, and there is a good chance it will start again as a matter of priority.

But will men and women accept this reimposition?

What happens when a woman walks out of the training because President Trump has empowered her to say that she will not scapegoat men? These things were starting to happen already during the end of the Obama presidency, well before the weight of Trump's presidency labelled them as inconsistent not only with American values, but with the basic principles of gender equality. What happens when colleges revert to Obama era Title IX kangaroo courts that were already flooding the civil courts with lawsuits where sometimes even the victim wasn't even accusing the perpetrator of any wrongdoing?

Under President Obama, gender conflict was racing towards a brick wall at 60 miles per hour, President Trump tapped the brakes and the car slowed down, but if Joe Biden becomes President, the radical left of his own party is going to insist that he push the pedal to the floor.

While we might have hit the wall a few years later than we would have if President Trump had not won in 2016, we are going to hit it way harder than we ever would have under President Obama's momentum. It is questionable whether most men and women have ever been exposed to critical gender theory, but where they were forced to endure it, they sat through it in the hope that their tolerance would placate the angry. Now they know that the indoctrination is just the beginning and not the end, it remains to be seen how they will respond.

Strap yourselves in: it will be a ride that isn't uniquely American.

AUTHOR PROFILE



Gerard Nicol has 27 years of experience in enterprise storage management and data security. He welcomes any comments in relation to this article and is happy to answer any questions that you may have.

Contact details: gerard.nicol@gazillabyte.com

NEW MALE STUDIES: AN INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL (NMS) IS AN OPEN ACCESS ONLINE INTERDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL FOR RESEARCH AND DISCUSSION OF ISSUES FACING BOYS AND MEN WORLDWIDE.

THIS JOURNAL USES OPEN JOURNAL SYSTEMS 2.3.4.0, WHICH IS OPEN SOURCE JOURNAL MANAGEMENT AND PUBLISHING SOFTWARE DEVELOPED, SUPPORTED, AND FREELY DISTRIBUTED BY THE PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE PROJECT UNDER THE GNU GENERAL PUBLIC LICENSE.

THIS ARTICLE HAS BEEN DOWNLOADED FROM [HTTP://NEWMALESTUDIES.COM](http://newmalestudies.com)